NOV 16 2005

AF

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

## TRANSMITTAL FORM

Application Number 09/247,826

Filing Date February 11, 1999

First Named Inventor Kenichi SHIRAISHI et al.

Group Art Unit 2644

Examiner Name X. Mei

Attorney Docket Number 0670-208

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) **Attorney Docket Number** Total Number of Pages in This Submission ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) Assignment Papers After Allowance Communication to (for an Application) Fee Transmittal Form Group Appeal Communication to Board Drawing(s) Fee Attached of Appeals and Interferences Declaration and Power of Amendment / Reply Appeal Communication to Group Attorney (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) After Final Licensing-related Papers Proprietary Information Petition Affidavits/declaration(s) Status Letter Petition to Convert to a Extension of Time Request Other Enclosures **Provisional Application** 1. Power of Attorney, Revocation **Express Abandonment Request** 2. Change of Correspondence 3. Information Disclosure Statement Address 4. Terminal Disclaimer 5. Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional Response to Missing Parts fees required or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 2280 for the above identified docket number. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Eric J. Robinson, Reg. No. 38,285 Firm Robinson Intellectual Property Law Office, P.C. **PMB 955** Individual name 21010 Southbank Street Potomac Falls, VA 20165 Signature November 14, 2005 Date **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.

Type or printed name

Signature

*V*\_\_\_\_

November 14, 2005

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Attorney Docket No. 0670-208

## NOV 1 6 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:	)	Group Art Unit: 2644
Kenichi SHIRAISHI et al.	)	Examiner: X. Mei
Serial No. 09/247,826	)	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on
Filed: February 11, 1999	)	
For: A METHOD FOR REMOVING AM	)	
NEIGHBORING INTERFERENCE	)	
AND A CIRCUIT FOR THE SAME	)	adely M Stamper
		_

## <u>RESPONSE</u>

Honorable Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Official Action mailed August 11, 2005, has been received and its contents carefully noted. This response is filed within three months of the mailing date of the Official Action and therefore is believed to be timely without extension of time. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully submit that this response is being timely filed.

The Applicants note with appreciation the consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement filed on August 13, 2003.

Claims 2 and 3 are pending in the present application, all of which are independent. For the reasons set forth in detail below, all claims are believed to be in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration is requested.

Paragraph 2 of the Official Action rejects claims 2 and 3 as obvious based on JP 53-002020 to Sato et al. The Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection because the Official Action has not made a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

As stated in MPEP §§ 2142-2143.01, to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available